

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
for the
Southern District of Texas

ENTERED

October 02, 2023

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

United States of America)
v.)
) Case No. 4:23-CR-358-5
Samuel Lamonte Draper)
Defendant)

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Part I - Eligibility for Detention

Upon the

Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or
 Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),

the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.

Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)

A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (*previous violator*): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community because the following conditions have been met:

(1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
 (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; **or**
 (b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; **or**
 (c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); **or**
 (d) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; **or**
 (e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:
 (i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921);
 (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; **and**

(2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed; **and**

(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; **and**

(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

X **B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses):** There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:

X (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);

X (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;

(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;

(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; **or**

(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.

X **C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above**

The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. *(Part III need not be completed.)*

OR

X The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.

Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention

After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:

X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.

By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.

In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:

X Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong

X Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted

X Prior criminal history

X Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision

 History of violence or use of weapons

History of alcohol or substance abuse

X Lack of stable employment

Lack of stable residence

Lack of financially responsible sureties

Lack of significant community or family ties to this district

Significant family or other ties outside the United States

Lack of legal status in the United States

Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration

Prior failure to appear in court as ordered

Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement

Use of alias(es) or false documents

Background information unknown or unverified

Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

The Court finds that Defendant has introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption of detention. However, for the reasons stated on the record and described below, the Court finds by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.

Defendant, age 24, proffered testimony at his detention hearing. The proffered testimony clearly shows that he has a lot of love and family support.

In the instant case, however, it is alleged that when the Government learned that there was going to be a robbery of a stash house, they set up a sting operation. Defendant and his co-conspirators believed that they were going to steal, by armed force, 122 kilograms of cocaine. Defendant's role in the conspiracy was to serve as a look-out. The sting operation occurred on July 27, 2023 but Defendant was not arrested on that day. The next day, Houston Police Officers were on patrol scouting for a serial burglary crew robbing ATMs. At 3:45 a.m., Defendant was observed as the driver of a vehicle in the vicinity of an ATM that had just been broken into. When the Officers attempted to pull Defendant over, he accelerated to escape. When the Officers eventually brought Defendant's vehicle to a stop, he fled. Defendant was subsequently apprehended in a residential backyard. At the time of the instant offense, Defendant was currently on probation for a 2021 felony conviction for evading arrest with a vehicle.

If convicted, Defendant is facing a mandatory minimum sentence of at least 15 years.

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The Defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The Defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the Defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date: October 2, 2023



Sam S. Sheldon
United States Magistrate Judge